UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,660	07/28/2003	Ramabadran S. Raghavan	LUCW:0003	4409
	7590 01/05/201 ODER (LUCENT)	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 6922	289	AJIBADE AKONAI, OLUMIDE		
HOUSTON, TX 77069			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/628,660	RAGHAVAN ET AL.
	Art Unit
CHARLES N. APPIAH	2617

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 7 December 2010.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal browning will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	ht Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22,24 a Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	<u>nd 25</u> .
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>CHARLES N. APPIAH</u> .	(3) <i>LESTER KINCAID</i> .
(2) <u>OLUMIDE AJIBADE AKONAI</u> .	(4)
/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/LESTER KINCAID/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617